| 1   | JEFFREY S. BUCHOLTZ  |                 |
|-----|--|-----------------|
| 2   | Acting Assistant Attorney General  |                 |
|     | ELIZABETH J. SHAPIRO   |                 |
| 3   | ISAAC R. CAMPBELL United States Department of Justice                          |                 |
| 4   | United States Department of Justice<br>Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch |                 |
| _   | 20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Room 6130   |                 |
| 5   | Washington, D.C. 20530   |                 |
| 6   | Tel: (202) 616-8476  |                 |
| 7   | Fax: (202) 616-8460 isaac.campbell@usdoj.gov                                   |                 |
|     | isaac.campoen@usdoj.gov  |                 |
| 8   | Attorneys for Defendant Environmental Protection Agency                        |                 |
| 9   | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT<br>NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA                |                 |
| 10  |  |                 |
|     | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION   |                 |
| 11  |  |                 |
| 12  | PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex  |                 |
| 13  | rel. EDMUND G. BROWN JR., ATTORNEY   GENERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,       | CV 08-00735 RWW |
|     | Plaintiff,   | ANSWER          |
| 14  |  | ANSWER          |
| 15  | v.   |                 |
| 16  | ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,   |                 |
| 10  |  |                 |
| 17  | Defendant.   |                 |
| 18  | )  |                 |
| 1 0 |  |                 |

Defendant Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), by and through undersigned counsel, answers and otherwise responds to plaintiff's Complaint as follows:

The introductory paragraph describes the action and requires no response.

- 1. This paragraph contains plaintiff's jurisdictional allegation, to which no answer is required, but to the extent an answer is deemed required, the allegation is denied.
- 2. This paragraph contains plaintiff's conclusion of law, to which no answer is required, but to the extent an answer is deemed required, the allegation is denied.
- 3. Defendant admits the allegations of the first sentence of paragraph 3. Defendant denies the allegations in the second sentence of this paragraph except to admit that plaintiff Brown is acting in his official capacity as chief law enforcement officer of the state of California, plaintiff

Answer to Complaint 08-00735 (RWW)

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

submitted a FOIA request, dated December 27, 2007, and as defendant has yet to complete its response to plaintiff's request.

- 4. Defendant EPA denies the allegations of paragraph 4, except to admit that it is an agency within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 552(f) and is subject to the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).
- 5. Defendant admits the allegations of the first sentence of this paragraph. The allegations of the second sentence of this paragraph constitute plaintiff's characterization of the Clean Air Act, to which the Court is referred for a full and accurate statement of its content. The third and fourth sentences of this paragraph constitute plaintiff's characterizations of its greenhouse gas emissions (GHG Regulations), which regulations speak for themselves and no response is required.
- 6. Defendant denies the allegations in the first sentence of this paragraph. Defendant denies the allegations in the second sentence of this paragraph, except to admit that, in a letter dated December 19, 2007, EPA Administrator Stephen L. Johnson indicated his intent that the agency deny California's waiver request. Defendant is without knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in the third sentence of this paragraph, and on that basis, denies the allegations of this sentence. Defendant denies the allegations in the fourth sentence of this paragraph, except to admit that Defendant has previously granted California approximately 50 waivers and that Defendant sent a letter to Governor Schwarzenegger identified by plaintiff as Exhibit A. The letter speaks for itself and no response is required.
- 7. Defendant admits that plaintiff submitted to it a FOIA request, a copy of which is attached as Ex. B to the complaint. The remaining allegations of this paragraph constitute plaintiff's characterization of the FOIA request, to which the court is referred for a full and accurate statement of its content.
  - 8. Defendant EPA admits the allegations of paragraph 8.
  - 9. Defendant EPA admits the allegations of paragraph 9.
  - 10. Defendants incorporate by reference their responses to paragraphs 1 through 9.

6

12 13

1415

16 17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

- 11. Defendant EPA is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegation that plaintiff sent its FOIA request on December 27, 2007. Defendant admits that plaintiff sent to defendant a FOIA request, attached as Ex. B, dated December 27, 2007.
- 12. Defendant EPA is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 12 and, on that basis, denies the allegations of that paragraph.
- 13. To the extent that the first sentence of this paragraph seeks to characterize 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(A), the statute speaks for itself and no response is required. Defendant EPA admits the allegations contained in the second and third sentences of this paragraph.
  - 14. Defendant EPA denies the allegations of paragraph 14.
- 15. To the extent that the first sentence of this paragraph seeks to characterize 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), the statute speaks for itself and no response is required. Defendant EPA denies the remaining allegations of this paragraph.
  - 16. Defendant EPA denies the allegations of paragraph 16.
- 17. Defendant EPA admits that plaintiff has exhausted his administrative remedies under 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C). The remaining allegations of paragraph 27 constitute a characterization of 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(6)(C), to which the Court is referred for a full and accurate statement of its contents.

The remaining paragraphs of the Complaint constitute a prayer for relief to which no response is required. Defendant denies that plaintiff is entitled to any relief.

Defendant denies all allegations contained in the Complaint that they have not specifically admitted.

## AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff has failed to state a claim for which relief can be granted.

WHEREFORE, defendants pray that:

- 1. The Complaint be dismissed with prejudice;
- 2. plaintiff take nothing by this action;
- 3. no injunctive relief be awarded to plaintiff;
- 4. the court enter judgment in favor of defendant; and

Answer to Complaint 08-00735 (RWW)

| 1  | 5. the Court grant such other and further relief as it deems just and prop   |
|----|--|
| 2  | Dated: March 3, 2008   |
| 3  | Respectfully submitted,  |
| 4  | JEFFREY S. BUCHOLTZ  |
| 5  | Acting Assistant Attorney General  |
| 6  | ELIZABETH J. SHAPIRO   |
| 7  | Assistant Branch Director  |
| 8  |  |
| 9  | ISAAC R. CAMPBELL  |
| 10 | United States Department of Justice Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Rm. 6130 Washington, DC 20530 Tel: (202) 616-8476 Fax: (202) 616-8460 |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 | Attorneys for Defendant  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |
| 26 |  |
| 27 |  |
| 28 |  |

Answer to Complaint 08-00735 (RWW)